



Summary of 31-Oct-2007
Joint LOC for MH-DD-SAS

NC IOM Task Force on
Substance Abuse Services
16 November 2007

A. Thomas McLellan, Ph.D.,
(CEO, Treatment Research Institute)

Re-Considering Addiction Treatment: State Role in Improving Effectiveness and Accountability

- Addressing the myth that addiction treatments do not work
 - Compare and contrast with other chronic diseases
- Why don't patients want treatment?
 - #1: No problem/ Can handle.
 - But #2-4 related to "offering treatment services with little value"
 - One idea: give them something valuable (CASPAR)
- Predictive factors for relapse:
 - lack of adherence to patient behavior Rx, low SES, low family support, Psych co-morbidity
- Solution: pay for results (performance-based contracting)
 - Delaware as prototype

Mady Chalk, Ph.D.,

(Director, Center for Performance Based Policy,
Treatment Research Institute)

Funding Tools for Service Systems

- **Contracts vs. Grant Funding mechanism**
 - Contracts: ideal for more accountable systems; allow more connections; less flexible than grants
 - Grants: develop capacity; less accountability
- **Public-Private networks / intermediaries**
 - Strong integrator key
- **List of questions to consider**

Kimberly Johnson, MSEd, MBA

(The Network for the Improvement of Addiction Treatment)

Performance Based Contracting: Some Things to Consider

- Maine designed system to focus on efficiency (number of units) and effectiveness (client outcomes)
 - Quality improvement with provider feedback
- Requires good data collection system with clear data definitions and performance measures
- By most accounts, PBC has improved the quality and quantity of services delivered to residents of Maine

Presentations Available On Web

<http://www.ncleg.net/gascripts/DocumentSites/browseDocSite.asp?nID=20&sFolderName=\LOC%20Minutes%20and%20Handouts\Minutes%20for%202007\LOC%20Meeting%20October%2031,%202007>

or

<http://tinyurl.com/2en2gw>